THE HIJACKED DISCOVERY/ENS LAW SOCIETY COUNCIL,
ATTEMPTS TO SANITIZE, BUT AS THAT WAS NOT POSSIBLE, THEN
DISCARDS AN EXPLOSIVE AFFIDAVIT EXPOSING THE COLLUSION
AND CORRUPTION BETWEEN DISCOVERY'S ATTORNEY GEORGE
VAN NIEKERK OF ENS CAPE TOWN, DISCOVERY PROXY ANTHONY
MILLAR AND DISCOVERY MEDIA ASSASSIN TONY BEAMISH, IN
THEIR COMMON OBJECTIVE OF SERVING DISCOVERY'S AGENDA
BY WAY OF BRIBERY, CORRUPTION AND FAKE NEWS, TO DESTROY
RBP INC. AND RONALD AND DARREN BOBROFF

The 274-page May 2015 powerful affidavit, prepared by the Law Society's attorney, Mr Andre Bloem on instructions from the Law Society and its then president Mr Solomon Strike Madiba, throughout the document, but specifically in paragraph 14 thereof exposes the collusion between Millar, Discovery's attorney George Van Niekerk of ENS, and Discovery Medial Lackey reporter Anthony Kilroy Beamish, in pursuing the vendetta against Ronald, Darren and RBP Inc.

The hijacked Discovery/Law Society Council attempts to sanitize and then discards the explosive affidavit prepared by Law Society Attorney Mr.A Bloem of Rooth and Wessels, which graphically exposed how Discovery's Katz, its attorney George van Niekerk of ENS Cape Town, its proxy attorney Anthony Millar and Media Lackey Beamish were behind the vendetta and accompanying media campaign against Ronald Bobroff, Darren Bobroff and Ronald Bobroff and Partners Inc.

PRESIDENT MADIBA'S AFFIDAVIT FINGERING DISCOVERY DATED 30 JULY 2015 - CLICK HERE TO READ

AFFIDAVIT PREPARED BY LAW SOCIETY ATTORNEY MR.ANDRE BLOEM, FOR PRESIDENT SOLOMIN STRIKE MADIBA - CLICK HERE TO READ

PARAGRAPH 7 OF THE AFFIDAVIT DOCUMENT DETAILING DISCOVERY'S INVOLVEMENT - CLICK HERE TO READ

PARAGRAPH 8 OF THE AFFIDAVIT DOCUMENT DETAILING VAN NIEKERKS CONDUCT AND INVOLVEMENT - CLICK HERE TO READ

PARAGRAPH 14 OF THE AFFIDAVIT DOCUMENT EXPOSING THE COLLUSION BETWEEN DISCOVERY PROXY ANTHONY MILLAR, AND ITS MEDIA LACKEY BEAMISH - CLICK HERE TO READ

ORIGINAL PARAGRAPH 14 <u>BEFORE IT WAS REMOVED FROM THE AFFIDAVIT - CLICK HERE TO READ</u>

SANITIZED PARAGRAPH 14 WHICH REPLACED THE ORIGINAL PARAGRAPH 14 - CLICK HERE TO READ

After the farcical "election" results became known on or about 23 October 2015, and given that Millar was now a "councillor", the original paragraph 14 was suddenly removed from that affidavit, and as you will note from the replacement paragraph 14, no reference is made to Millar at all.

That obviously was not enough to satisfy Discovery proxies on the council, and the entire truthful and courageous 274-page affidavit was discarded and substituted, by a venomous affidavit tailor made to further Discovery's interests.

Despite paying lip service to the principles of fairness and natural justice, that affidavit had attached to it, a biased and inaccurate report by a junior accountant employed full time by the Law Society, an affidavit by a mentally unstable former RBP employee who had been recruited by Beamish, and an affidavit by a ten times convicted fraudster and jailbird bookkeeper employed by RBP Inc., and bribed by Discovery via Millar to set up RBP for a SARS audit aimed at having the Practice shut down.

The obvious intention was to negatively and improperly influence the minds of any judges reading same against RBP, Ronald and Darren. Despite that contrived affidavit stating that RBP and its directors would be given an opportunity to rebut the allegations in the annexed documents at a Law Society disciplinary hearing, where the authors of those documents would face cross examination by RBP legal representatives; that of course never took place.

Instead the Law Society, now under the powerful influence of Discovery proxies on its council, made common cause with it, and with Millar at the helm trumpeting on television and elsewhere in the furtherance of his and Discovery's agenda against Ronald, Darren and RBP Inc.

In a complete and utter corruption of the council's long standing policy, requiring any councillor who has any interest in a matter serving before the council to recuse them self, and not be involved or privy to anything concerning such matters, Millar not only refused to recuse himself, but actively drove and continues to drive his and his master Discovery's agenda at council meetings, and has shamefully been permitted by the council to front the attack on Ronald, Darren and RBP in the media and elsewhere.

RBP have been furnished with the minutes of the council meetings concerned, by a councillor who is horrified at the corruption of the council, but is afraid to speak out due to Discovery's record of destroying anyone who stands up to it, and as arrogantly stated by Katz.

A letter sent to the Law Society by RBP's attorneys, seeking an undertaking that Millar would not be permitted to be involved or privy to any council meetings or correspondence relating to RBP, was simply ignored by the Discovery proxy packed council. THIS IS AN ABSOLUTE AND SCANDALOUS CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND AGAIN SHAMES AND TAINTS THE LAW SOCIETY'S ONCE PROUD RECORD OF INTEGRITY.

<u>LETTER FROM RBP'S ATTORNEYS TO THE LSNP - CLICK HERE TO READ</u>

DISCOVERY'S KATZ AND MEDIA LACKEY BEAMISH, EXPRESS
THEIR ELATION AT THE SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME OF THE
DISCOVERY/ENS SCAM TO MAKE MILLAR PRESIDENT - CLICK
HERE TO READ

The ONLY complaints ever made against the firm in respect of its contingency fees have been by a handful of clients unlawfully solicited by Discovery to Millar, or by Millar himself as deposed to by RBP clients Clint Coleman and Martha Kok, where Beamish in the course of his engagement to serve Discovery's interests attempted to solicit them to Millar.

**AFFIDAVIT BY MARTHA KOCK - CLICK HERE TO READ** 

**AFFIDAVIT BY CLINT COLEMAN - CLICK HERE TO READ** 

RONALDS OPPOSING AFFIDAVIT JANUARY 2016 TO DISCOVERY APPLICATION - CLICK HERE TO READ

ANNEXURES TO ANSWERING AFFIDAVIT PART 1 - CLICK HERE TO READ

ANNEXURES TO ANSWERING AFFIDAVIT PART 2 - CLICK HERE TO READ

<u>ANNEXURES TO ANSWERING AFFIDAVIT PART 3 - CLICK HERE TO</u>
READ

Ironically one of such clients, Glen Vivian after insisting that his claim be settled for the paltry R45 000 offered by the Road Accident Fund, eventually received R4.4 million after Darren and Ronald managed to persuade him to persist in the claim. His email expressing his gratitude to Darren can be read below, but after he was incited by Millar and others, he suddenly "complained" about Darren Bobroff's 25% contingency fee. Perhaps Darren should not have done his duty as an attorney and should have permitted Vivian to accept the R45 000.00 offer.

**READ THE EMAILS - CLICK HERE** 

Despite Discovery attorneys Millar and Van Niekerk maliciously and dishonestly stating that RBP charged percentage contingency fees of 40% or more, RBP have never charged more than the usual 25 - 30% fee in terms of such an agreement. Where an attorney charges on a time basis such as Millar professes to do, there is no cap on the time fee charged, and which is not limited to any percentage of the damages recovered for the client.

Millar being the dishonest hypocrit that he is, entered into an invalid and unlawful Common Law Contingency Fee Agreement with Ms. de la Guerre, the former RBP client/Discovery member he touted, to use as a puppet in attacking RBP's Common Law Contingency Fee Agreements.

## **CLICK HERETO VIEW MILLAR'S AGREEMENT WITH DE LA GUERRE**

CLICK HERE TO VIEW AN OPINION BY ADVOCATE JUSTIN
ERASMUS ON THE ABOVE AGREEMENT WHICH HE DESCRIBES AS
AN INVALID AND UNLAWFUL COMMON LAW CONTINGENCY FEE
AGREEMENT

Millar continues to use such agreement, and despite complaints being made against him and his partner Norman Berger to the Law Society, consistent with Discovery's Katz's boast to Darren Bobroff on the 16th June 2015 that Darren should not bother lodging complaints against Katz or Millar, as such complaints "would go nowhere", the Law Society's Jaco Fourie indeed saw to it that the complaints went nowhere.