

THE HIJACKING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF THE NORTHERN PROVINCES BY DISCOVERY'S ATTORNEYS EDWARD NATHAN

Step 1:

Was to remove the Law Society rule which prohibits anyone canvassing support for an attorney to be elected to the council of the Law Society. Rule 43 was specifically intended to ensure that candidates for election to the Law Society council should be attorneys of worth, respected by their colleagues, who had served for lengthy periods of time on committees of the Law Society, leaders in their communities and were fit and proper to serve as members of the Council.

As Millar was none of the aforesaid, the only way to get him onto the council was if Discovery's attorneys, primarily ENS but also other large corporate law firms, either the recipients of work from Discovery, or closely connected to ENS would use their collective hundreds of partners and professional staff to lobby for, and to vote for Millar. Therefore, this rule was abolished by virtue of Millar's motion at the Law Society 2014 annual general meeting, supported by Discovery's attorneys and proxies.

[ATTEMPT BY MR ANTHONY MILLAR TO HAVE HIMSELF ELECTED TO THE COUNCIL OF THE LSNP - CLICK HERE TO READ](#)

[MILLAR PREPARES TO HAVE ENS, DISCOVERY'S ATTORNEYS ELECT HIM TO LAW SOCIETY COUNCIL - EXTRACT FROM THE EDITED MINUTES - CLICK HERE TO READ](#)

[HIJACKING THE LAW SOCIETY - AT THE INSTANCE OF ENS - CLICK HERE TO READ](#)

Step 2:

Was for Millar to call for a special meeting of members of the Law Society seeking to force an "election" of members of the council. You will have read above and you will have noted Millar's letter demanding such a meeting, his attached resolution calling for an "election", and the requisition in terms of the Law Society's rule 16 which requires signature by at least 100 of its members.

You will note that the requisition is ONLY signed by Discovery's Jeff Katz and assistant Krawitz, Millar, Berger and their two assistants and EVERY OTHER SIGNATURE IS EXCLUSIVELY THAT OF DISCOVERY'S ATTORNEYS DIRECTORS AND PROFESSIONAL STAFF. This has to be the most astonishingly, brazen and arrogant manipulation of the Law Society in its entire history. Discovery's Katz (in the pink shirt) plotting with his accomplice Millar (portly man in the white shirt).



Step 3:

Unsurprisingly the meeting called for was packed with Discovery proxies and the resolution called for was carried forcing an "election" for the council of the Law Society.

Step 4:

Was for Discovery via the various law firms it floods with work, to put up candidates for "election" to the council, which included directors of these firms, as also Discovery panel attorneys. Again by sheer weight of numbers, Discovery was able to ensure that its chosen candidates would be elected to the council.

Step 5:

Was to have Millar leap-frogged from his Louis Botha office obscurity, to the office of president of the council! There are some 18 000 attorneys governed by the Law Society of the Northern Provinces. A tiny proportion of these are ever elected, after years of decades in practice to the council of the Law Society, and in its 125-year existence the council has only had approximately 125 presidents or less. A councillor

is required to serve diligently on the council for many years, display a capacity for the enormous work load, and the proper and ethical service the office of President involves, serve and chair numerous important committees of the Law Society for many years. Only then, do a minuscule number of councillors be held in such esteem by their fellow councillors and the profession as a whole, to become eligible for election by fellow councillors as president of the council. Again Millar fails to qualify on any single one of these criteria.

Shockingly in a farcical perversion of everything the Law Society has always stood for, Millar was "elected" by way of a rigged process whereby Discovery stooges voted for him. Millar has faithfully served his masters since then together with his fellow Discovery proxies, in causing the council to do a 360 degree about face.

Whereas it had previously, staunchly and honestly stood for principle in resisting and exposing Discovery and its attorney's relentless efforts to manipulate the Law Society to serve its agenda; it now effectively parroted the line taken by Discovery and ENS's George Van Niekerk in attacking Ronald, Darren and RBP Inc.