FICTION

Allegations of misappropriation of Practice clients trust funds

FACT

Not a cent of trust money belonging to clients was misappropriated/stolen from any Practice clients, and no clients have lodged any misappropriation claims against the Attorneys Fidelity Fund. This has repeatedly been confirmed by the Court appointed Curator to the Practice. His latest confirmation dated 4 June 2019 ,as also his confirmation dated 28 August 2018 appears below.

GAUTENG PROVINCIAL OFFICE
2nd Floor Protea Towers | C/o Pretorius Street and Bank Lane | Pretoria | 0002
P O Box 1493 | Pretoria | 0001 | Docex 50 | Pretoria
Tel: +27 (0) 12 316-0600 | Fax: +27 (0) 12 323-6559
E-mail: members@lpc.org.za

NATIONAL OFFICE
Temporary Address: Procforum Building|123 Paul Kruger Street| PRETORIA
Tel: +27 (0)12 338 5800

LEGAL PRACTICE COUNCIL

Please quote your reference number on all correspondence: Your ref.: Ronald Bobroff

Our ref.: JVS/06rg04.RBP

Mr R Bobroff

4 June 2019

Per e-mail
bobroffronald@gmail.com

Dear Mr Bobroff

RE: CLAIMS AGAINST THE LEGAL PRACTITIONERS FIDELITY FUND

I refer to your enquiry and wish to advise that there are no pending claims submitted to the Legal Practitioners Fidelity Fund nor any claims previously paid by them.

Kind regards

THE LAW SOCIETY OF THE
NORTHERN PROVINCES

Docex 50, Pretoria
2nd Floor, Protea Towers
C/o Pretorius Street and Bank Lane,
PRETORIA, 0002

PO Box 1493
PRETORIA, 0001
Tel: 012 316 0600
Fax: 012 323 6559
E-mail:members@lsnp.org.za

Your Ref:

Our Ref: JVS/08rg25.rbp

Mr R Bobroff

28 August 2018

Per e-mail
bobroffronald@gmail.com
pamelacaf@gmail.com

Dear Sir

RE: RONALD BOBROFF & PARTNERS

I refer to your enquiry as to the status of any claims having been submitted and paid by the Attorneys Fidelity Fund as a result of allege theft of trust moneys.

I wish to confirm that the High Court appointed me as *curator bonis* of the practice Ronald Bobroff and Partners on 24 March 2016 in terms of which *inter alia* the trust account(s) were placed under my control and to administer any claims of trust creditors.

FICTION

That RBP's fee agreements whereby complicated and expensive personal injury and medical negligence claims were somehow improper or unethical, and that this Law firm was the only one using such agreements.

FACT

The Law Society of the Northern Provinces, The Free State Lawyers Association, The Black Lawyers Association and the Law Society of the Cape of Good Hope, were all of the opinion that such agreements were in the public interest, lawful and ethical, and for almost twelve years from 2002 up to and including 2013, almost 80% of all practicing attorneys in South Africa were permitted and encouraged to utilise such agreements.

Below see the ruling made by the Law Society with regards to Common Law Contingency Fee Agreements, and the further confirmation of the ruling made.

1. The 1st Ruling by the Law Society - August 2002

CONTINGENCY FEE AGREEMENTS

Annexur

It was, upon recommendation of the Court Practice Committee of the Law Society of the Northern Provinces, resolved by the Council on 23 February 2001 to support the principle of a percentage contingency fee.

After further careful consideration, which included taking opinion as to whether attorneys would be entitled to enter into valid contingency fee agreements in terms of the common law, notwithstanding the enactment of the Contingency Fees Act, 66 of 1997, it was resolved by the Council of the Law Society, on 21 June 2002, to accept:

1. Common law Contingency Fee Agreements may be validly entered into by Attorneys. That the Contingency Fees Act does not proscribe such agreements. It must however be accepted that such agreements will continue to be keenly scrutinised by the Courts. Such scrutiny by the Courts may even be raised *mero motu* by the Court.
2. A common law contingency fee agreement should meet the following criteria:
   - 2.1 It should relate to a genuine case of assisting an impecunious client to assert his rights. Impecunious does not mean totally indigent but in context it would refer to someone who, due to lack of means, is unable to assert his right to relief in the Courts; and
   - 2.2 The attorney's remuneration must be fair; and
   - 2.3 The agreement must not amount to gambling, speculation or trafficking in litigation.
3. The reasonableness of the percentage of the monetary proceeds retained as a success fee will be measured according to various criteria some of which are to be found in the opinion, but it seems more than likely that a Court will also have regard to the 25 % cap referred to in the Contingency Fees Act.
4. The restrictions to be found in the Contingency Fees Act will probably resonate in various guises in judicial scrutiny of a common law contingency fee agreement.

Colleagues may therefore henceforth enter into success / common law contingency / percentage contingency / contingency fee agreements in the knowledge of the acceptance by the Law Society of the Northern Provinces of such agreements. A step forward? For sure!

CP FOURIE

VICE PRESIDENT OF THE LAW SOCIETY OF THE NORTHERN PROVINCES

CHAIR PERSON OF THE COURT PRACTICE COMMITTEE

2. The Confirmation of the ruling by the Law Society - October 2003

"RBA4

COMMON LAW CONTIGENCY FEE AGREEMENTS

It is now more than a year since the Law Society of the Northern Provinces gave the green light to its members to enter into common law contingency fee agreements with their clients. The feedback is that it was well received and that, by and large, it works well.

The other Provinces, however, have as yet not followed suit. During the Annual General Meeting of the Law Society of South Africa in March this year, an ad hoc committee was appointed to consider the matter and to make recommendations to the Law Society of South Africa. Two Councillors of the Law Society of the Northern Provinces serve on that ad hoc committee. We are awaiting the committee's recommendations, for consideration. Unless otherwise notified, members may continue to enter into common law contingency fee agreements with their clients.

However, kindly note the following:

1. A Common Law Contingency Fee agreement must be entered into. Such agreement will normally be entered into before commencement of the proceedings. If entered into thereafter, valid reason should exist. Common Law Contingency Fees cannot therefore be applied as a matter of course;

2. A Common Law Contingency Fee agreement should meet the following criteria:

   - It should relate to a genuine case of assisting an impecunious client to assert his/her rights. This refers to someone who, due to lack of means, is unable to assert his/her rights to relief in the Courts; and
   - The attorney's remuneration must be fair;
   - The agreement must not amount to gambling, speculation or trafficking in litigation.

3. The question of whether a Common Law Contingency Fee agreement withstands judicial scrutiny will depend on the facts of every matter. It can be assumed that reasonableness will remain the touchstone in respect of the percentage of the agreed success fee. Because the Courts will probably refer to the 25 % cap on success fees in the Contingency Fees Act, Act 66 of 1997, in determining the reasonableness, any agreed percentage in excess of that cap would be at risk. The percentage of the agreed success fee referred to is exclusive of actual disbursements of VAT.

Please note further that Council has considered the application of Common Law Contingency Fees to the value of an Undertaking, issued by the Road Accident Fund in terms of the relevant Act. Council's view is that Common Law Contingency Fees may not be applied against the value of an Undertaking. Members are therefore not allowed to apply the percentage of the agreed success fee to the value of such an Undertaking at all.

Please ensure adherence.

CP FOURIE
VICE-PRESIDENT
LAW SOCIETY OF THE NORTHERN PROVINCES



3. Extract from an opinion expressed by Supreme Court of Appeal Judge Malcom Wallis in 2010 supporting such agreements, and critical of attorney's fees based on time.

The rule of law under a written and unwritten const

Costs

In this issue we publish two papers delivered at the Middle Temple and SA Conference deali

- Reform of the cost regime – a South African perspective by Justice Malcom Wallis, Judge of the Su Appeal
- The reform of the costs regime by Lord Justice Jackson

Reform of the costs regime – a South African persp

BY **JUSTICE MALCOLM WALLIS**, JUDGE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL

ocean in addressing the demand for legal services at the lowest end of the financial spectrum, because this is a classic instance of cross-subsidisation. The high value work must continue in order to meet the costs of the free work and with many small firms of lawyers and those at the Bar who are already struggling to make ends meet, pro bono work is a luxury they can ill afford.

The attitude is that reflected in one judge's description of counsel's argument as 'leaving no stone or any part thereof unturned.'

Ultimately I believe that there will always be an unsatisfied demand for legal services and there will always be good cases that are not brought to court and good defences that are not advanced because of the inability of people to fund or obtain funding for the proceedings. We can facilitate access to justice by way of endeavours to reduce levels of costs by simplifying procedures, better case management and contingency fee agreements. It would be a work of supererogation for me to try in taking of evidence towards written witness statements. Not only does this make proceedings more costly as noted by Lord Justice Jackson but in a country such as ours where there are wide differences between the quality of legal practitioner available to the well-resourced and those available to ordinary people it has the potential to work injustice, because the one side's lawyers are better resourced and more adept at giving evidence than those of their opponents. And we need to acknowledge that when evidence is reduced to writing it is the lawyer's voice that we are hearing not that of the witness.[16]

Lastly if something can be done to break the near universal reliance on charging by time, particularly by attorneys, but increasingly by counsel, that would be a good thing. Our courts have bemoaned it as a basis for charging fees, describing it as putting a premium on slowness and inefficiency.[17] It started as a way in which clients could monitor the costs charged to them. It has become routine because it is easy to calculate (especially if the hour is 6, 10 or 20 minutes, which is how most law firms

the result that recovery is usual 50 or 60% of the actual cost t There is the further problem c cially of determining the valu a basis wholly different from t used as between the attorney a However if hourly rates are intr the taxation process, as I unde pens elsewhere, the rates use to be based on those charged which effectively endorses the of attorneys' charges. The one light on this particular horizon pears that the customers are t revolt. Articles in journals and magazines note that corpor are increasingly demanding t charged on a fixed rate fee bas the outset of the instruction.[20] the problem of hourly rates is n be resolved in the marketplace tervention from the side of the

Reverting to my basic them seems to me that all these refo justments address the problem margins rather than bringing change in the level of costs an of ordinary people to obtain a tice at affordable prices. It is

4. Letter from the Law Society addressed to Deputy Judge President van der Merwe of the Pretoria High Court dated 12 October 2011 informing the judge that it supported such agreements, that it had permitted and encouraged its members to utilise such agreements since 2002, that it had not laid down any minimum or maximum percentage fee which its members could charge their clients, and that percentage fees in excess of 25% were entirely permissible.

THE LAW SOCIETY
OF THE
NORTHERN PROVINCES

Pretorius Building
123 Paul Kruger Street, Pretoria 0002
Docex 50
PO Box 1493, Pretoria 0001
Tel: 012 338 5902
Fax: 012 321 7733
E-mail: director@lsnp.org.za

Incorporated as the Law Society of the Transvaal
Ingelyf as die Prokureursorde van Transvaal

Serving Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Limpopo & North West Provinces
Dienende Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Limpopo & Noordwes Provinsies

Your ref/U verw: Mr Grobler / djp / 05/10/2011

Our ref/Ons verw: MJSG / el / 109/10

12

His Honourable Judge W J van der Merwe
Deputy Judge President
North Gauteng High Court
PRETORIA

Fax: 086 640 1361

Dear Mr Justice Van der Merwe,

PRACTICE DIRECTIVE: PARAGRAPH 6.16 – SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ORDER

A separate letter was addressed to you on 1 September 2011 confirming the w

For example, although no minimum or maximum percentage is prescr
attorneys and their clients are free to negotiate a contract in the sam
contract between competent parties, we indicated to our members that
referred to in the Act, be exceeded, it will have to be justified, having r
aspects which will have to be considered. This will *inter alia* include t
matter, the overhead cost structure of the firm, the extent of the disburse
by the attorney, the anticipated period that the attorney would h
disbursements and wait for payment of fees, as well as other criteria such
in Rule 80 of the Law Society's rules.

Following the judgment given in the matter of *PricewaterhouseCoopers*
Co-operative Ltd. by the Supreme Court of Appeal and the lack of certa
Court would uphold common law contingency fee agreements as a res
cautioned our members to provide for alternative fee agreements with cli
the common law agreement was disputed or ruled invalid by a Court.

The Council understands that most attorneys utilising common law con
also contract in the alternative with clients on a straight rate per hour ba
the Contingency Fees Act, 1997.

For all the above reasons, the Council very much welcomed your kind un
a proposed model common law agreement which the Council truly
workable and which will obviate many of the criticisms you have raised
reply. We would very much welcome engaging with you on this agreemen

The Council will of course investigate and seriously consider any matter r
We believe an approved model common law agreement may address
raised by you.

5. Law Society President janse van Rensburg, in opposition to Millar's attack on such agreements (whilst he hypocritically has and continues to use common law contingency fee agreements), signed a 49 page affidavit, prepared by the Law Society attorney Andre Bloem of Rooth and Wessels Pretoria, and in which the Law Society vigorously defended its decade of permitting and encouraging its 16 000 members to utilise such agreements.

The entire affidavit may be viewed by clicking here to read.

FICTION

That the Practices requirement that clients who wished to take advantage of obtaining legal representation by the Practice on a no win no fee basis, and whereby the firm carried the entire risk and cost of what was often years of High Court litigation and disbursements running into hundreds of thousands of Rands; sign alternative fee agreements, was improper.

FACT

As will be noted in paragraph 5 of the Law Society letter to the Deputy Judge President of the High Court dated 12 October 2011, the Law Society itself had sent out written advisories to its 16 000 members.

No RBP clients were forced to sign any agreements whatsoever, unlike Discovery puppet attorney Anthony Millar whose poor and semi-literate clients were touted from their Natalspruit hospital beds (see www.toutingattorneys.co.za), all RBP clients came to the firm by way of recommendation from family, friends or professionals, were free to decline signing any agreements, or of terminating their instructions to the firm at any time.

RBP Inc. in common with the Law Society's tens of thousands of other members offered their clients an opportunity of entering into alternative fee agreements and for the very reasons referred to by the Law Society in its letter to the then Deputy Judge President, and any criticism of this is malicious and without merit.

FICTION

That Ronald and Darren Bobroff have been found guilty of unprofessional conduct by the Law Society.

FACT

The Law Society has confirmed in writing on the 1st February 2013, and again recently in October 2018 shortly before the Law Society of the Northern Provinces was dissolved and replaced by the Legal Practice Council, that never since the Practice was established in 1975, were any of its directors - Ronald Bobroff, Darren Bobroff and Stephen Bezuidenhout **ever** found guilty by any Disciplinary committee of

the Law Society of any unprofessional conduct. Below is the Law Society's letter dated 1st February 2013 and an extract from its letter 16 October 2018.

THE LAW SOCIETY
OF THE
NORTHERN PROVINCES
Incorporated as the Law Society of the Transvaal
Serving Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Limpopo & North West Provinces

123 P

Your ref:

Our ref: MJSG / sl

1 F

To whom it may concern

Dear Sir / Madam,

DISCIPLINARY PROFILES: DIRECTORS – RONALD BOBROFF &
INC.

I hereby wish to confirm that I have perused the disciplinary record
Society and that the partners of the above practice (Mr R Bobroff, Mr D
Mr S D Bezuidenhout) have, since the practice was established on 1
never been found guilty on any charges of unprofessional conduc
Society.

Docex 50, Pretoria
Proclarum Building,
123 Paul Kruger Street,
PRETORIA, 0002

THE LAW SOCIETY OF THE NORTHERN PROVINCES

Please quote your reference number on all correspondence

Your ref:

Our ref: MJSG / sl / 307/1

To whom it may concern

Dear Sir / Madam,

DISCIPLINARY PROFILES: DIRECTORS – RONALD INC.

I hereby wish to confirm that I have perused the disc Society and that the partners of the above practice (Mr R Mr S D Bezuidenhout) have, since the practice was est never been convicted on any charges of unprofession Committee the Law Society.

FICTION

That Ronald Bobroff, Darren Bobroff, his wife Lisa together with their two little boys left South Africa to avoid prosecution.

FACT

As at March 2016 to date, there has never been any prosecution of Ronald, Darren or Lisa(who never had anything to do with the Practice).

This despite wild and hysterical allegations made by a spokesperson for South Africa's National Prosecuting Authority, and unsurprisingly Discovery's puppet touting attorney Anthony Millar, by faithfully reporting on the captive publications and primarily in the Discovery controlled South African Jewish Report by Ant Katz, a relation to Discovery's Jeff Katz.

The only reason Ronald, Darren and Lisa left was on account of terrifying and credible threats made to Ronald on the 15th March 2016 that Discovery's agents would:

- At any moment have Darren's wife Lisa arrested and gang raped in prison by thugs hired for such purpose.

- That Ronald and Darren would be arrested at any moment and whilst in prison subjected to serious assault or murder.

Against the background of Discovery's Jeff Katz having continually threatened Darren, Ronald their partner Stephen Bezuidenhout, that he/Discovery would:

"no matter what it takes, no matter what it costs, we will destroy you all", "we have unlimited money with which to do so".

Katz accosted Darren, his wife and two little boys at Melrose Arch shopping centre marched up to their table and in a loud voice such that everyone in the restaurant could hear shouted **"The Hawks (South Africa's specialised criminal investigation unit)are onto you, they will be arresting you soon**".

Please see below relevant extracts of the letter of complaint regarding Katz addressed to the Law Society.

RONALD B
& PARTNE
THE MEDICAL MAL
PERSONAL INJURY CL
Est. 1974

P.O. Box 1170
Parklands
2121
Tel: (011) 880-6781 / 2 / 3
Website: **www.bobroff.co.za**
E-mail address: info@bobroff.co.za
For prompt response: ronaldb@bobroff.co.za

OUR REF:- MR R BOBROFF/rs

YOUR REF:-

30 July 2015

MR T GROBLER, DIRECTOR LSNP
SUSANL@lsnp.org.za
MS M MALATJI, HEAD DISCIPLINARY DEPARTMENT
THE LAW SOCIETY OF THE NORTHERN PROVINCES
PER EMAIL motila@lsnp.org.za

Cc MR S S MADBIDA
smadiba@mweb.co.za

BY HAND –

Dear Sirs/Madam

RE: COMPLAINT AGAINST ATTORNEY JEFFREY KATZ

We advise as follows:

1. On 16 June 2015, at approximately 13:30 RBP D
including his two children and some friends were
Restaurant at Melrose Arch.

2. Discovery Health Administrators employee, Jeffrey
at the same restaurant. Katz walked up to the table
were seated and made the following threats and sta

   a. **"You are going to jail";**

   b. **"The Hawks are onto you and will be arrestin**

   c. **"You have no idea how many of your clients**

Extracts of affidavit of RBP Director S. Bezuidenhout relating to the threat made to him.

Affidavit SB drafted by D Schultz
23/09/2014

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF APPEAL OF SOUTH AFRICA
(HELD AT BLOEMFONTEIN)

SCA CASE NO: 20366/201
COURT A QUO: 61790/201

In the matter between:

RONALD BOBROFF & PARTNERS INC	First Applicant
RONALD BOBROFF	Second Applicant
DARREN BOBROFF	Third Applicant

and

JENNIFER GRAHAM	First Respondent
MATTHEW GRAHAM	Second Respondent
THE LAW SOCIETY OF THE NORTHERN PROVINCES	Third Respondent
ROAD ACCIDENT FUND	Fourth Respondent

SUPPLEMENTARY AFFIDAVIT

I, the undersigned,

STEPHEN BEZUIDENHOUT

do hereby make oath and state as follows:

1. I am a director of the first applicant and I am authorised to depose to this affid on behalf of the applicants.

10. I also wish to draw to the attention of this Honourable Court the fact that at t hearing of the application to the Court a quo for leave to appeal on 30 June 201

Affidavit SB drafted by D Schultz
23/09/2014

the head of Discovery Health's Road Accident Fund Medical Costs Recove Department, Mr Jeffrey Katz, told me and my partners, Ronald Bobroff and Darre

Email from Advocate Nazeer Cassim S.C to Ronald Bobroff regarding the threat.

From: Sharon Tong <stong@law.co.za>
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2015 1:58 PM
To: 'RBsec'
Subject: RE: DRAFT LETTER

Dear Ronald

Second paragraph of Paragraph 7.2 must read as follows:

"At the conclusion of the hearing, Advocate Cassim SC engaged opposing couns
if matters could be amicably resolved. Mr Katz, representing Discovery, informe
(referring to Discovery) will destroy the Bobroffs, no matter what it takes". Adv
writer and I have no doubt that should he be subpoenaed to the hearing, he wil

Regards
Nazeer

From: RBsec [mailto:RBsec@bobroff.co.za]
Sent: 21 July 2015 10:04 AM
To: ncassim@law.co.za
Cc: 'Sharon Tong' <stong@law.co.za>
Subject: FW: DRAFT LETTER
Importance: High

Dear Nazeer

Further to yesterday's discussion and email I am now forwarding our complaint
incorporating the enclosed paragraph.

Many thanks for your steadfastness.

Anonymous emails having being received by Darren during February 2016, and obviously emanating from a source privy to highly confidential material to the effect that Ronald and Darren would be arrested and bail would be opposed by the National Prosecuting Authority.

From:b15109@trbvn.com
Date: 15 February 2016 at 4:48:49 PM GMT+11
To:darren@bobroff.co.za
Subject: Fwd: ARRESTS

Bobroff family - NPA - opposed bail

From:b367733@trbvn.com
Date: 20 February 2016 at 4:33:15 PM GMT+11
To:darrenbobroff@gmail.com
Subject: Fwd: Standard Bank

Money laundering
Standard Bank - Police
Malta, Israel. Hong Kong, Australia
Elaine Passport - Harties
NPA arrests - Elaine, Cindy, Lisa x2, Darren, Steve, Rona
Opposed bail

From: Justice4RBPClients <justice4rbpclients@gmail.com>
Subject: Justice for RBP's client - the voluntary return of Messrs Bobroff to SA
Date: October 18, 2016 at 8:17:32 PM GMT+11
To: Ronald Bobroff <bobroff@icon.co.za>, Ronald Bobroff <bobroffronald@gmail.co
<darrenbobroff@gmail.com>
Cc: Steven Bezuidenhout <steve.bez@mweb.co.za>, Mandy Bezuidenhout <mandy

Dear Ronald, Darren

It is in everyone's interest that you return to South Africa such that justice can b
players to Ronald Bobroff & Partner's (RBP) past shenanigans.

Unfortunately 2 of the 3 directors of RBP fled South Africa to avoid arrest. This
process, which as lawyers I am sure you can understand. We want to avoid any
justice for RBP's clients (as if your clients did not suffer enough from their injuri

It is in your personal interest to return to South Africa without delay, and we ar
grace till end November 2016 for both of you to return voluntarily. Should you
South Africa and allow the SA legal system to run its course, there will be conse
and your families.

These consequences could include:

1. God will be disappointed with you (and since you are religious men, this shou
and your families);
2. Your conscience will remain troubled well into your old age;
3. You will have further tarnished the reputation of the your profession. A profe
had represented at the various Law Societies
4. Your family, children and grand-children will suffer from the consequences o

On this aspect, we really do not want to harm your sons in Australia, and daugh

However, should you do not return to SA by end November, you will be leaving
potential harm that could result from your inaction, would be as a direct result
you will need to live with a further burdened conscience)

Please could you make the necessary arrangement to return to South Africa by
November 2016. Should you which to discuss the matter with us (or you would
side of the story), please let us know your contact details by return email.

Regards

Concerned citizens dismayed by the Bobroff's shenanigans

Below see letter issued by attorney David Bayliss confirming that "at no stage have we been furnished with any charge sheet alleging/detailing criminal conduct on the part of either Ronald and Darren Bobroff".

DAVID
ATTO

Tel: 011 789-2922
Fax: 086 521 0098
PO Box 55928
NORTHLANDS,211
Email: davidbayli

Your Ref: Our Ref: DB/bobroff Da

Att: OHAD HAREL
Per email: ohad@hhhlaw.cio.il

Att: LIOR SHECHTER
Per email: lior@lsa.co.il

To whom it may concern,

RE: RONALD & DARREN BOBROFF

1. We confirm;

   1.1 We are attorneys of record with the National Pros
   of South Africa, in respect of our clients Ronald an
   (hereinafter our clients)

   1.2 At no stage have we been furnished with ar
   alleging/detailing criminal conduct on the part of
   Darren Bobroff.

FICTION

If Ronald and Darren are innocent of any criminal conduct,why have they not returned to South Africa to "face the music"?

FACT

Darren, Lisa and Ronald had been advised and had intended to only absent themselves from South Africa for a few days, whilst the source of the threat above was identified and neutralised.

For that reason their return flights were booked for them to arrive back in South Africa,on 23rd March 2016.

See a copy of the air tickets below:

RESERVATION CONFIRMED, BUSINESS (D) DU

BAGGAGE ALLOWANCE: 2PC
MEAL: MEAL
NON STOP PERTH, WA TO SYDNEY, NS
OPERATED BY: VIRGIN AUSTRALIA INTL, VA
AIRCRAFT OWNER: VIRGIN AUSTRALIA INTL, VA
EQUIPMENT: AIRBUS INDUSTRIE A330-200

FLIGHT SA 7257 - SOUTH AFRICAN AIRWAYS TUE

DEPARTURE: SYDNEY, NS (KINGSFORD SMITH), TERMINAL 2
ARRIVAL: PERTH, WA (PERTH), TERMINAL 1
FLIGHT BOOKING REF: SA/3D2TUN
RESERVATION CONFIRMED, BUSINESS (D) D

BAGGAGE ALLOWANCE: 2PC
MEAL: MEAL
NON STOP SYDNEY, NS TO PERTH, WA
OPERATED BY: VIRGIN AUSTRALIA INTL, VA
AIRCRAFT OWNER: VIRGIN AUSTRALIA INTL, VA
EQUIPMENT: AIRBUS INDUSTRIE A330-200

FLIGHT SA 281 - SOUTH AFRICAN AIRWAYS TUE

DEPARTURE: PERTH, WA (PERTH), TERMINAL 1
ARRIVAL: JOHANNESBURG, ZA (O.R. TAMBO INTL), TERMINAL A
FLIGHT BOOKING REF: SA/3D2TUN
RESERVATION CONFIRMED, BUSINESS (D)

BAGGAGE ALLOWANCE: 2PC
MEAL: BREAKFAST/DINNER
NON STOP PERTH, WA TO JOHANNESBURG

Itinerary

From:	Sydney, NS Australia	Airport:	SYD - Kingsf
To:	Perth, WA Australia	Airport:	PER - Perth
Class:	ECONOMY (B)	Baggage:	ADULT 2PC
Stops:	Non-stop	Duration:	05:05
Status:	Confirmed	Aircraft:	Airbus Indust
In flight service:	Meal, Non-smoking		
		Vendor Locator:	3AL8TY
Service(s):	Ticket Numbers (E-tickets) 0831692411037C3 - Confirmed BOBROFF/DARRENRODNEYMR: Vegetarian Vegan Meal - No action		
E-ticket(s):	BOBROFF/DARRENRODNEYMR: 083 1692 411037		

FLIGHT: Perth to Johannesburg (SA281)

Date:	March 22, 2016 (Tue)	Departs:	23:45 hrs
Airline:	South African Airways	Arrives:	04:30 hrs (M
Flight:	SA281 (Operated by South African Airways)		
From:	Perth, WA Australia	Airport:	PER - Perth
To:	Johannesburg, South Africa	Airport:	JNB - O R T
Class:	ECONOMY (B)	Baggage:	ADULT 2PC
Stops:	Non-stop	Duration:	10:45
Status:	Confirmed	Aircraft:	Airbus Indus
In flight service:	Dinner, Breakfast, Movie, Audio Programming, Duty Free Sales, Non-smoking,		
:	Feature Video		
		Vendor Locator:	3AL8TY
Service(s):	Ticket Numbers (E-tickets) 0831692411037C4 - Confirmed BOBROFF/DARRENRODNEYMR: Vegetarian Vegan Meal - Confirmed		
E-ticket(s):	BOBROFF/DARRENRODNEYMR: 083 1692 411037		

E-tickets

Airline	Ticket Number	Name	Issued
083	083 1692 411037	BOBROFF/DARRENRODNEYMR	16MAR

Coupon	USE	Airline	Flight	Class	Date	Orig	Dest	Time	Status	Fare Basis
1	ARPT	SA	280	V	16MAR	JNB	PER	2100	OK	VLSP1Y
2	ARPT	SA	7258	V	17MAR	PER	SYD	1520	OK	VLSP1Y

However after Ronald's wife Elaine was arrested on trumped up charges on the night of the 22nd March 2016, whilst she, her daughters and sons in law were meeting with Advocate Nazeer Cassim S.C at his home, by a very senior member of the Hawks, the circumstances changed immediately.

The admission by a Lt. Colonel member of the Hawks who had arrested Elaine, that effectively the only reason that he had arrested her was due to a telephonic instruction received by Discovery's attorney George van Niekerk of ENS Cape Town.

It immediately became clear that Discovery's Jeff Katz's threats as to the power and influence of Discovery and its attorneys Edward Nathan were not idle ones.

The endemic and widespread corruption infesting South Africa's criminal justice system from top to bottom is a matter of public record, and has extended from successive heads of the Hawks and the NPA downwards to prosecutors, police officers and even the Chief Magistrate of Pretoria.

The evidence given before the Zondo Judicial Commission of Enquiry into State Capture and Corruption, daily reveals ever more details of the astonishing depth and extent of how corruption has infested every single aspect of government, its Law Enforcement Agencies, and of State owned enterprises, such that the rich and powerful have, in the words of renowned forensic investigator Mr. Paul O'Sullivan created a situation where there are "badges and robes readily available for hire".

The evidence in this regard was presented day after day, and week after week before the Zondo judicial commission of enquiry, and may be easily accessed on the internet.

Renowned forensic investigator Mr Paul O' Sullivan was engaged by the Practice to investigate ongoing thefts of material from it, and successfully obtained a full confession from the culprit, Ms. Cora van der Merwe. (currently conducting business as Legally Accurate (PTY) Limited).

Fourteen months after van der Merwe had willingly accompanied Mr. O'Sullivan and his assistant on the way to his offices, she laid charges of kidnapping against Mr. O'Sullivan.

There is no doubt in the minds of those who were aware of Discovery's agenda, which happened to coincide with that of the NPA and the Hawks concerning Mr. O'Sullivan, that he was charged and persecuted for some two years at great financial and reputational cost.

After two years of torment Mr. O'Sullivan and his assistant were acquitted of all van der Merwe's charges, The Magistrate described van der Merwe as “an evasive liar, who kept changing her story", and her thefts for Discovery media assassin Beamish as “unlawful and malicious”.

Click here to read the judgment

What fair-minded person, when confronted with these facts and the clear demonstration of the power and influence which Discovery and its attorney George van Niekerk of ENS Cape Town have been able to wield, and as will be noted below even extended to the hijacking of the Council of the Law Society in November 2015; would condemn us of being fearful to return to South Africa until and unless the endemic corruption has been rooted out, and Discovery and its attorneys' improper use of their power and influence has been exposed and stopped.