

ATTORNEY
JOHN JOSEPH FINLAY CAMERON
HURLINGHAM OFFICE PARK, BLOCK G, GROUND FLOOR
CR. WILLIAM NICOL & REPUBLIC ROADS, SANDTON
(ENTRANCE IN WOODLANDS AVENUE)
P O Box 41248, Craighall, 2024
Tel: (002711) 285 0043 Fax: (002711) 325 4780
Cellular: 072 041 8818
E-mail: johncam@mweb.co.za

Your Ref: Mr A Beamish
Our Ref: J Cameron/corres/Moneyweb/Bobroff- Interpol red notices
Date: 2 July 2018

MONEYWEB
OXFORD OFFICE PARK NO. 5
8TH STREET
HOUGHTON ESTATE
JOHANNESBURG

TELEFAX NO:
TELEPHONE NO: 011 344 8600
EMAIL: tony.beamish@me.com
EMAIL: editor@moneyweb.co.za

Dear Sirs

OUR CLIENTS: RONALD BOBROFF AND DARREN RODNEY BOBROFF ("THE BOBROFFS")
RE: TWO INTERPOL RED NOTICES TITLED "FUGITIVE WANTED FOR PROSECUTION" WHICH IDENTIFY OUR CLIENTS AS BEING FUGITIVES WANTED FOR PROSECUTION ("THE NOTICES")

We refer to the Notices and more specifically to your email addressed to our clients dated the 2nd July 2018 ("the Email").

At the outset, our clients record that:-

1. the issuing by Interpol of the Notices constitutes an abuse of the process of law; and
2. the facts upon which Interpol issued the Notices are not only extremely vague but are lacking in substantiation and factual content (your Mr Beamish, as a senior investigative journalist, should always be suspicious of the fact that an institution of State, the National Prosecuting Authority, has scant facts upon which it relies upon when contending for the existence of fraud and no doubt it was this institution that requested Interpol to issue the Notices).

In addition to the foregoing, it is furthermore necessary to record that:-

1. your Mr Beamish has known since March/April 2016 that there exist warrants of arrest; and
2. your Mr Beamish is simply “rehashing” a very “old story”; and
3. the “summary of facts of the case” indicated in the Notices factually contends for the existence of fraud perpetrated by both our clients and accordingly those instances of fraud must give rise to one or more parties being financially prejudiced thereby; and
4. neither of our clients have caused any party and more specifically clients/ex-clients of Ronald Bobroff & Partners Inc. (“RBP”) to have been prejudiced (financially or otherwise) arising from any cheques that were drawn on any of the bank accounts of RBP (no doubt your Mr Beamish, in the course of his investigations, would have determined which parties had been defrauded by RPB/our clients and accordingly your Mr Beamish is invited to indicate exactly who was defrauded, when they were defrauded and the extent (in monetary terms) of the frauds arising from the cheques that were allegedly issued and which are referred to in the Notices); and
5. you are invited to communicate with one or more of the undermentioned persons/entities in order to satisfy yourself that there exists no instances of frauds (as referred to in the Notices) as no doubt those frauds perpetrated on persons unknown would lodge claims/institute actions against RBP:-
 - 5.1 Mr J van Staden, the Court appointed curator of RBP - to the best of our clients’ and the writer’s knowledge, no claims arising from the existence of fraudulent cheques have been lodged with him; and
 - 5.2 the Attorneys Fidelity Fund, South Africa – again, to the best of our clients’ and the writer’s knowledge, no claims arising from the existence of fraudulent cheques have been lodged with it.

You are requested to acknowledge receipt hereof and we await your advices hereto.

Yours faithfully

J J F Cameron

